**INSIDE Track**

"Gold & Silver - Date of Aggression ‘19"

4-30-19 - On April 23, 2019, Gold spiked below 1270.0/GCM and triggered new long positions (after traders should have entered longs in Sept. ‘18 near the lows and exited in Feb. ’19 near the highs), fulfilling what has been forecast since the Feb. 20 peak.

That spike low came two trading days after the Date of Aggression (April 19) and reinforces why May/June ‘19 could be an unstable period, with equity (and energy) markets projected to drop sharply and Bonds & Notes forecast to rally into early-June. Gold is projected to enter a new advance (from ~1270.0/GCM) that should last into June/July 2019.

Corresponding analysis (not included in this update) explains why Stock Indexes should peak on April 29 - May 6 and then see a sharp sell-off, even as the Transports have already confirmed a 1 - 2 month peak and generated a convincing weekly sell signal (April 26) that should spur a drop into June.

The following is a reprint of recent analysis, detailing the ‘aggression’ that is materializing now and foreshadowing what to expect in April 2019 - March 2020... as well as corresponding analysis in precious metals, which often correlate to this type of action...

**Outlook 2019**

*Aggression II*

04-29-19 - The first month of the Natural Year (March 20/21 - April 19/20) - or Month of Aggression - produced multiple demonstrations of ‘aggression’ - including another synagogue attack in the US - on the final day of Passover.

The culmination of the Month of Aggression brought a new wave of terror attacks - this time in Sri Lanka, specifically targeted at Christians in houses of worship.

While those attacks were horrific, another series of events - spread out over the Month of Aggression - perpetuated the steady drumbeat of aggressive moves evolving over the past decade (leading into the 80-Year Cycle of War in 2021).

In late-March, the US conducted a successful test of its ‘Ground-based Midcourse Defense system’ - developed to defend against ICBM’s in a nod to China’s growing development of a wide variety of land-based missiles. China was quick to warn against this development and highlight its potential ramifications.

China culminated the Month of Aggression with a test of a new hypersonic, anti-ship missile that is targeted to destroy the missile defense systems of US warships.

(Keep the South China Sea on your radar over the next 2 - 3 years. And, remember it was exactly a year ago when China initiated war games in the Taiwan Strait on the Date of Aggression 2018.)

In the midst of that month-long period, renewed and heightened focus was placed on the growing superiority of China’s offensive missile force (part of the Rocket Force ‘branch’ of their military initiated by Xi Jinping in 2015). While the accuracy and precision of those Chinese missiles is not certain, the sheer number of them is the greatest concern.

As the US has been banned from developing intermediate-range missiles - a result of the INF Treaty with Russia - China has been developing all classes and categories of missiles at breakneck speed. The result is a growing disparity (to China’s favor) between the US & China’s arsenal of offensive missiles.

That has not gone unrecognized. In Feb. 2019, President Trump announced a US withdrawal (Aug. 2019) from the INF Treaty, blaming Russia’s cheating on the treaty while also citing China’s ~1,000 missiles of the variety that the US is banned from developing.

So, the rhetoric is heating up... and turned increasingly more aggressive (with corresponding actions and tests) over the past month.
This corroborates discussions of long-term conflict cycles related to China.

2019 is a complete 40-Year Cycle from the last time China fought a war (1979) when it attacked and invaded Vietnam - as punishment for Vietnam’s attack against Cambodia - while threatening the USSR not to come to Vietnam’s aid.

China amassed troops along its northern border with the Soviet Union in a massive display of force aimed at deterring the USSR from becoming involved (even through the Soviet Union had just signed a 25-year defense pact with Vietnam).

While the primary conflict was short-lived, the resulting China/Vietnam conflicts played out over the ensuing decade.

2019 - 2021 is the latest phase of the 40 Year Cycle that has impacted China and related conflicts, including the First Sino-Japanese War in the 1890’s and the ensuing Boxer Rebellion (1899 - 1901) - targeted at Western colonial powers in China.

April in the Middle East (Originally published in March 2015)

In the March 2007: Bring on the Bear Report, I detailed another connection to the Date of Infamy/Date of Aggression (April 19th). In short, April 19th or 20th is the culmination of the first month of the new Natural Year, celestial year and Persian Year… I documented recent events linking Iran to the month of April. Ahmadiniejad’s classification of April 9th as ‘National Nuclear Day’ reinforced that connection and gave him reason to escalate this every April….

April 23, 2007 - EU sanctions against Iran.

April 21, 2008 - Hillary Clinton threatens to “totally obliterate Iran if it launched a nuclear strike against Israel”; Iran processes UF6 (uranium) in new IR-3 centrifuge at Natanz.

April 2009 - Isfahan nuclear fuel plant start-up.

April 2010 - 3rd generation centrifuges unveiled (‘six times more efficient’).

April 2012 - Iran nuclear talks resume after 15-month sabbatical.

April 2013 - Iran announcing opening of new uranium processing site for ‘National Nuclear Day’.

April 2016 - 6.3 earthquake in Bushehr (where their primary nuclear facility is based).

April 2013 - 7.7 Saravan earthquake in Iran (‘strongest in 40 years’)… ushers in new phase of 11.5-12.5 & ~34.5-Year Iran EQ Cycle (should last into 2016; to be reiterated later).

April 2014 - New round of talks between Iran and P5+1. LA Times reports existence of 30-page ‘secret deal’ between White House & Iran.

April 2015 - ???. Iran nuclear talks reaction (June 30th = sanctions deadline)?… IT

4/29/19 - The links between 2019 and Iran have already been discussed, including the 40-Year Cycle relationship to April 1979 & Nov. 1979 (Iranian Revolution and US Embassy hostage taking). This time, it is Iran feeling like they are being held hostage by US sanctions, with what could be a final blow leveled in April 2019 (the May 1 removal of sanction relief from many nations). Since these sanctions have a direct impact on China - a big purchaser of Iranian oil - could China’s 40-Year Cycle of conflict and Iran’s 40-Year Cycle merge?… With heightened focus on Oct./Nov. 2019! IT
40 years later, in 1937 - 1945, China was in the Second Sino-Japanese War - in which Western powers would again play a key role. After a string of Japanese victories, China halted further Japanese gains in 1939 when that war effectively reached a stalemate.

The Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, and resulting US entry into WWII in 1941, turned China’s fortunes around and led to her ultimate ‘victory’

1939 - 1941 was another time of great conflict, with China’s prognosis turning brighter in 1941.

1979 triggered another period of conflict, when China flexed her might against the USSR and saw her fortunes take a turn for the better from 1981 forward.

Could 2019 - 2021 see renewed conflict between China and her neighbors, China and Western powers, or both?

Watch the South China Sea (as well as the East China Sea and Taiwan Strait).

[That 40-Year Cycle dates back before the 1899 - 1901 Boxer Rebellion, incorporating the events of 1859 - 1861, when the Second Opium War - between China and Western powers - overlapped the major turning point of the Taiping Rebellion.

Once again, it was the result of European aid that allowed the ruling Qing Dynasty to defeat the Taiping Rebellion. 40 years earlier, in 1819 - 1820, the first US military ship visited China.]

October 2019 is the 70th Anniversary of the People’s Republic of China. The 70-Year Cycle, as described numerous times before, is what I consider the Cycle of Kings & Cycle of Governments.

As China’s economy continues to struggle, and the effects of the US/China Trade War are felt, Xi Jinping is increasingly backed into a corner. What is always the ‘go-to’ response in times of domestic struggles and economic challenges? Divert focus to an external enemy. Rally the masses around a perceived threat from the outside.

On April 19, 2019, China’s Shanghai Composite stock index set its highest daily close - after recovering about 75% of its 2018 decline. It has since sold off. At the same time, the Mueller Report was made public, highlighting a different form of aggression (from Russia toward US elections).

Will either of these Date of Aggression events have a future impact??? IT

INFLATION MARKETS - METALS


As detailed in the Feb. 20, 2019 INSIIDE Track Report: Gold & Silver 2019 - Cycle Crescendo?, an uncanny 55 - 59 week cycle - that previously pointed the late-Jan. ’18 peaks - was projected to time a multi-month peak in late-Feb./early-March.

Gold & Silver surged into Feb. 19 - 22, fulfilling weekly & monthly cycles while attacking decisive (extreme) resistance levels and upside targets - the culmination of their initial 3 - 6 month uptrends.
That was projected to usher in a much sharper correction with Gold dropping to **1270.0/GC** & Silver very likely to drop below **15.000/SL**, potentially below **14.350/SL**.

Two of three have been fulfilled with Gold spiking down to **1267.9/GCM** on April 23 (producing its lowest weekly close in sync with the Date of Aggression and Week of Aggression) and triggering new long positions.

That reinforces expectations for a new rally to begin in the second half of April and last into **June/July ‘19** - the next phase of a 17 - 18 month high-high cycle that has timed five successive highs, including the July ‘16 & Jan, ‘18 peaks.

The action of Gold’s weekly trend, during May and early-June, should help mold expectations for the next peak and where it is most likely.

3 - 6 month & 6 - 12 month traders & investors, after exiting long positions in late-Feb. w/avg. gains of about $11,500/contract, can be re-entering long positions (futures, cash, ETFs, etc.) when the June futures are trading at 1274.5 down to 1256.5. Risk/exit on a weekly close below 1240.0/GCM.

3 - 6 month & 6 - 12 month traders & investors could have exited long positions in Silver w/avg. overall gains of about $6,300/contract (in futures). Hold off on re-entering long positions at this time...

Similar to Gold, the XAU is expected to see a new advance into **3Q 2019**. The greatest synergy of cycles emerges in **July/Aug. ‘19** and would fulfill a 17 - 18 month high-high-high-high-(high) AND a ~9-month high-high-high-high-(high) Cycle Progression.

It would also fulfill a ~36-month high (Aug. ’13) - high (July/Aug. ’16) - (high) Cycle Progression and complete a 3.5-year advance (1/2 of a 7-year cycle) from the Jan. 2016 bottom.

It could also perpetuate an 18 - 20 week high - high cycle that has timed six consecutive intermediate highs since April ‘17...

The XAU continues to struggle with its monthly trend - a trend that remains down and cannot reverse to up until July 31, at the very earliest. That could also help time a future peak.

Refer to current publications for updated analysis regarding Gold’s projections for a new multi-month rally to begin in **late-April** and expectations for Stock Indexes to enter a new multi-month correction in **early-May**. Events surrounding **Date of Aggression 2019** - in and out of the markets - are corroborating the **2019 - 2020** outlook. **IT**
A Collision of Cycles

02-20-19 - Gold & Silver have just surged to decisive resistance levels and upside targets - during the convergence of a myriad of weekly & monthly cycles - ushering in a pivotal period... they are running into critical resistance levels - just above 1350/GCJ & 80.00/XAU - as a couple other cycles portend a multi-week top (on Feb. 19 - 22).

Gold just surged to dual weekly LHR levels (extreme upside targets for this week) at 1344.5 - 1345.2/GCJ while testing the monthly Raw SPR (1350.0/GCJ) and overall monthly resistance...

At the same time, Silver retested its high (16.20/SIH) while twice neutralizing its daily downtrend...this is as high as Silver should surge IF it is a ‘b’ wave rally prior to a ‘c’ wave decline (as is suspected). That would provide a textbook divergent top - with Silver peaking at or below its previous high as Gold sets a new (higher) high.

Silver has just spiked up to 3 of its latest 4 weekly LHR levels (extreme upside target for this week) at 16.025 - 16.260/SIH - reinforcing that Silver is at an extreme level from which a new decline is likely.

So, Feb. 19 - 22 is the period with the greatest synergy of all these cycle highs!...

Silver remains in a weaker position and has been unable to reverse its weekly trend up during this entire advance. So, that could be the leading metal if/when a downturn is signaled. It has spiked up to multiple weekly LHR levels (3 of latest 4 are at 16.025 - 16.260/SIH) - reinforcing that Silver is at an extreme level from which a new decline is likely.

3 - 6 month & 6 - 12 month traders & investors could have entered long Gold positions... at ~1200.0 down to 1186/GC in late-Sept. and be holding 2/3 of these w/avg. open gains of about $14,000/contract... The first 1/3 should have been exited in late-Jan. around 1320/GCJ w/avg. gains of about $12,500/contract. Exit/take profits on another 1/3 of these now and use a weekly close below 1305.0/GCJ as the trigger (risk) to exit the remainder...

3 - 6 month & 6 - 12 month traders & investors could have entered long positions in Silver... in late-Nov. at ~14.40 and be holding 2/3 of these w/avg. open gains of about $8,000/contract... The first 1/3 should have been exited in late-Jan. around 15.90/SIH w/avg. gains of about $7,500/contract. Exit/take profits on another 1/3 of these now and use a weekly close below 15.445/SIH as the trigger (risk) to exit the remainder of these long positions.
The month of April is a significant period of time, discussed every year due to its historical, natural, financial & geopolitical significance...

As veteran readers know, I place heightened focus on the days surrounding April 19 - what I term the Date of Aggression. In recent decades, that has timed many of the most salient and dramatic domestic attacks in the US (and abroad).

However, its national origin - for America - dates back to the first shot fired in the Revolutionary War - on April 19, 1775. That same Date of Aggression timed the first blood shed in the Civil War - on April 19, 1861.

The following is just a small excerpt of the momentous Date of Aggression events in America’s history (in some cases the actual events originated in the days surrounding April 19, which is why there is related focus given to the Week of Aggression).

— Start of Revolutionary War
— Start of Civil War
— Start of Mexican-American War
— Start of Spanish-American War
— Failure of Bay of Pigs Invasion
— End of Viet Nam War
— Largest battle of warships since WW II

Since the 1990's, April 19 (and the surrounding days) has timed a new kind of warfare:

— Waco/Branch Davidian debacle
— Oklahoma City Bombing (and coinciding execution of someone allegedly connected to it)
— Columbine High School massacre

… to name a few.

As just noted, I also place additional emphasis on the Week of Aggression - the period of April 12 - 19 that acts like the culmination of the first month of the Natural Year. That week is like the final phases of a parabola - exponentially gaining in significance.

However, the overall time frame - termed the ‘Month of Aggression’ - begins with the Vernal Equinox or the first day of Spring (in the N. Hemisphere). For thousands of years, agrarian societies have viewed this period as the time when the Earth came back to life and animals - as well as humans - emerged from their various states of Winter hibernation.

It has also been the time, particular during tribal eras and civilizations, when ‘kings went off to war’. In recent years, that first month of the Natural Year, from March 20/21st into April 19/20th, has seen repeated attacks - particularly focused in Europe.

April 19/20th times the culmination of that first month of the Natural Year and often witnesses the crescendo of that period - timing overly ‘aggressive' behavior that is not unlike that described, during the same annual period, in ancient Rome (Tubilustrium).

40-Year Cycle Aggression

The events of 40 years ago illustrate the dichotomy of events that often occur at momentous times in history. Two of the most significant have impacted life - in the Middle East and anywhere in the world that uses oil - for the four decades since 1979.

In the Spring, at the time when kings go off to war, David sent Joab out with the king’s men and the whole Israelite army. They destroyed the Ammonites and besieged Rabbah. But David remained in Jerusalem.

II Samuel 11:1 NIV
In April 1979, Iran declared itself an Islamic Republic, ushering in the *Islamic Revolution*. Later that year, the US embassy hostage taking would dramatically impact geopolitics (the demise of President Carter & ascent of Reagan; the ensuing Iran/Iraq War, etc.) and escalate what had begun in April ’79.

At the same time (April 1979), the peace treaty between Egypt and Israel took hold. That governed relations between Israel and one of her most consistent antagonists (dating back to Israel’s early years and the Exodus) up until the *Arab Spring* of 2010/2011 - another Islamic uprising.

*With long-term Middle East cycles already focused on 2019 - particularly on Iran, Saudi Arabia & Turkey* - and escalating events between the US & Israel reshaping key aspects of the Middle East, could **April 2019** see new escalations triggered?

**Currency War Aggression**

One of the other April 19th events that is frequently cited is the abandoning of the Gold Standard and the outlawing & confiscation of Gold mandated on **April 19, 1933**. Subsequent, related events have also been discussed - all reinforcing the intensifying *Currency War* that continues to play out.

On **April 19, 2016**, another shot was fired when China launched the *Shanghai Gold Fix* - their entry into the global influence over the price of Gold (and by default, the pricing of corresponding currencies).

The month of **April 2017** is when Bitcoin began its parabolic move higher - nearly tripling in value in a two-month period (and ultimately increasing nearly 20-fold from April - Dec. ’17). *With cycles already aligning in April ’19* (Silver, Dollar, cryptocurrency, DJTA, etc.), could the *Date of Aggression* see another shot fired against global Dollar hegemony? *IT*

---
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